Final Report

December 1982

# RV RELIABILITY, ENHANCEMENT, AND EVALUATION (U)

By: HAROLD E. PUTHOFF

Prepared for:

DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301

SG1J

Attention:

DT-5A

CONTRACT MDA908-82-C-0034

SPECIAL ACCESS PROGRAM FOR GRILL FLAME RESTRICT DISSEMINATION TO ONLY INDIVIDUALS WITH VERIFIED ACCESS.

333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, California 94025 U.S.A. (415) 326-6200

Cable: SRI INTL MPK TWX: 910-373-2046 NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

pp®ved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-007887001300330001-2



proved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788r001300330001-2

Final Report

Covering the Period October 1981 to September 1982

December 1982

### RV RELIABILITY, ENHANCEMENT, AND **EVALUATION (U)**

HAROLD E. PUTHOFF

Prepared for:

DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301

Attention:

DT-5A

SG1J

SRI Project 4028-1



Approved by:

ROBERT S. LEONARD, Director Radio Physics Laboratory DAVID D. ELLIOTT, Vice President Research and Analysis Division

Copy No. ......5...

This document consists of 60 pages.

Contract No: MDA908-82-C-0034

SRI/GF-0227

CLASSIFIED BY: DT-5A

REVIEW ON: 31 December 2002

SECRET

NOT RELEASABLE TO **FOREIGN NATIONALS** 

333 Ravenswood Avenue • Menlo Park, California 94025 • U.S.A. (415) 326-6200 · Cable: SRI INTL MPK · TWX: 910-373-2046

o♥ed For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788r001300330001-2

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788 r001300330001-2

#### CONTENTS (U)

LIST	OF IL	LUSTRAT	IONS	(U)		•	• •	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	,
LIST	OF TA	BLES (U	· .			•		•			•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	vii
I	OBJEC	TIVE (U	)					•				•		•	•	•		•		•	3
11	INTRO	DUCTION	(U)							•		•		•		•	•	•		•	3
III	RV EN	HANCEME	NT TA	sk (	U).	•		•		•		•		•		•	•	•	•		7
	Α.	Tasking	(U)					•			•			•		•	•			•	7
	В.	Six-Sta	ge RV	Enh	anc	eme	nt :	Pro	ced	lure	e (	(U)		•							7
	C.	FY 82 P	rogre	ss (	U).	•				•	•	•		•		•	•	•		•	9
IV	OPERA	TIONAL	RV TA	SKS	(U)									•				•			18
	Α.	Operati	onal	RV T	ask	ing	(U	).				•		•	•		•			•	18
	В.	RV Sess	ion F	'orma	.t (	U)								•					•		18
	c.	Pre- an	d Pos	st-Op	era	tio	nal	Та	sk	Cal	lik	ra	tio	n	(U)	٠.					18
	D.	FY 82 O	perat	iona	1 R	v s	ite	s (	U).					•							19
	E.	Evaluat	ion c	of th	ie O	per	ati	ona	1 F	RV '	Гая	sk	(U)	•	•	•	•	•		•	19
v	SUMMA	RY OF T	HE FY	82	RV	ЕЙН	ANC	ЕМЕ	NT	TAS	SK	<b>(</b> U)			•	•	•		•	•	23
APPE	NDICES																				
	A	TECHNOL	OGICA	L S	TES	US	ED	IN	STA	AGE	I	/ R:	ESE	AR	СН	J)	J <b>)</b>	•	•	•	23
	R	OPERATI	ONAT.	TASE	SU	MMA	RY	SHE	ETS	5 (1	11)										29

iii

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

iv

#### ILLUSTRATIONS (U)

1	RV Enhancement Program (U)	4
2	Glen Canyon Dam (U)	10
3	Radio Telescope Array; Socorro, New Mexico (U)	11
4	Sahara Oilfields (U)	12
5	Antenna Array; Lost Hills, California (U)	13
6	Copper Mine; Silver City, New Mexico (U)	14
7	Horizon National Wildlife Refuge; Wisconsin (U)	15
8	Monument Valley; Arizona/Utah (U)	16
9	Macdill Air Force Base; Florida (U).	17

V

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

vi

## Approved For Release 2000/08/01 NCRD 95-507-61-600330001-2

#### TABLES (U)

1	Stages in Remote Viewing (U)	8
2	Operational Remote Viewing Tasks (FY 82) (U)	20
A-1	Technological Sites Used in Stage IV Research (U)	25

vii

## **UNCLASSIFIED**

Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788r001300330001-2

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

viii

## **UNCLASSIFIED**

Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788r001300330001-2

## Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788r001300330001-2

#### I OBJECTIVE (U)

(S) The objective of the Remote Viewing (RV) Reliability, Enhancement, and Evaluation Task is to develop remote viewing techniques, both to enhance the potential for US applications, and to provide data that may be useful in assessing the threat potential of corresponding Soviet applications.

<sup>(</sup>U) RV (remote viewing) is the acquisition and description, by mental means, of information blocked from ordinary perception by distance or shielding.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK


2

#### II INTRODUCTION (U)

- (S) SRI International is tasked with assessing the potential of RV for intelligence applications. In this task, as defined for fiscal years (FY) 1981 through 1983, special emphasis is placed on the possibility that enhancement techniques can be developed that will significantly increase levels of accuracy and reliability.
- (S) The three-year effort focuses on (1) the development of techniques to enhance the accuracy and reliability of RV, (2) the application of RV to operational tasks, (3) the evaluation of such techniques and applications, and (4) the integration of RV intelligence into the overall intelligence mix. The apportionment of these efforts over the three-year period is shown in Figure 1.
- (S) Investigation of the RV phenomenon at SRI International over the past decade has ranged from basic research, where proof of the existence of the phenomenon was at issue, to operational applications, in which the existence of the phenomenon is assumed. The present study emphasizes applicability—proof of the phenomenon is not explicitly pursued here. Some pragmatic measure of demonstration of existence is provided, however, by assessment of the quality of results obtained in operational tests carried out under the double-blind conditions.
- (S) In this report we discuss the effort for FY 1982. This effort consisted of:
  - (1) Continued development of a six-stage RV training procedure, hypothesized to lead to improved RV performance. Special emphasis was placed on developing tools that were useful in differentiating and identifying technological facilities.

3

#### SECRET



4

### **SECRET**

(S)

- (2) Training undertaken with five SRI-chosen volunteer novice trainees as part of an in-house evaluation program.
- (3) Training undertaken with two Army INSCOM personnel as part of a technology transfer/external evaluation program.
- (4) Generation of data by experienced remote viewers in response to operational requirements.
- (5) Development of a general RV evaluation protocol.\*
- (6) Development of a computerized data-base management system (LSI 11/23 stand-alone microcomputer).

<sup>\*</sup>E. C. May, "RV Evaluation Protocol (U)," Final Report, SRI Project 4028-5, SRI International, Menlo Park, CA (December 1982), SECRET/NOFORN-GF.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

6

#### III RV ENHANCEMENT TASK (U)

#### A. Tasking (U)

(S/NF) SRI International is tasked with working toward the development of RV enhancement procedures that will accommodate future DoD needs. Of particular interest is the development of procedures that can be transmitted to others in a structured fashion (i.e., "training" procedures), and that can be used in targeting on distant sites of military or intelligence significance.

#### B. Six-Stage RV Enhancement Procedure (U)

(S/NF) At the beginning of the DIA/Army Joint Services Program (FY 81), SRI, in conjunction with its sponsors, made a decision to develop and codify the most promising RV enhancement procedure that had emerged from earlier work—a six stage training procedure developed by SRI consultant Mr. Ingo Swann. The procedure focuses on improving the reliability of remote viewing by controlling those factors that tend to introduce noise into the RV product. The basic components of this procedure consist of (1) repeated target—address (e.g., coordinate) presentation, with quick—reaction response by the remote viewer (to minimize imaginative overlays), (2) the use of a specially—designed, acoustic—tiled, featureless homogeneously—colored viewing chamber (to minimize environmental overlays), and (3) the adoption of a strictly—prescribed, limited interviewer patter (to minimize interviewer overlay). A broad overview of the procedure, which has been derived empirically on the

7

### SECRET

(S) basis of a decade of investigation into the RV process, is presented in the document footnoted below.  $^{\ast}$ 

(U) At this stage of near completion of the development, the RV training procedure proceeds through a series of six stages of proficiency, hypothesized to correspond to six stages of increased contact with the target site. The stages are outlined in Table 1. In a given remote viewing session, an experienced remote viewer tends to recapitulate the six stages in order.

Table 1
(U) STAGES IN REMOTE VIEWING (U)

	Stage	Example
I	Major gestalt	Land surrounded by water, an island
II	Sensory contact	Cold sensation, wind-swept feeling
III	Dimension, motion, mobility	Rising up, panoramic view, island outline
IV	Qualitative aspects	Scientific research, live organisms
v	Significant analytical aspects	BW preparation site
VI	Specific quantitative aspects	Name of island, personnel associated with site


<sup>\* (</sup>U) H. E. Puthoff, "RV Reliability, Enhancement, and Evaluation (U)," Final Report, SRI Project 3279-1, SRI International, Menlo Park, CA (February 1982), SECRET/NOFORN/GF.

#### C. FY 82 Progress (U)

- (U) During FY 82, three major subtasks were pursued with regard to continuing the development and technology transfer of the six-stage RV enhancement procedure.
- (U) The first subtask focused on developing procedures specifically applicable to the differentiation and identification of technological facilities (considered in our nomenclature a Stage IV process). With Swann acting as the remote viewer, 75 technological sites (nuclear power plants, dams, radar installations, missile launch facilities, and so forth) were viewed with the goal of developing discrimination accuracy. A list of the sites is provided in Appendix A. Examples of the level of detail and discrimination attained in this research/training phase are shown in Figures 2 through 5. Results of this quality are seen on a relatively routine basis and, therefore, at a rate well exceeding chance correlation.
- (U) The second subtask consisted of the orientation/application/testing of the procedure with five novice remote viewers, all of whom are SRI staff members or consultants without previous remote viewing experience. These individuals have all completed Stage I training and are now progressing through various levels of Stage II. The results to date continue to support the hypothesis that the remote viewing technology can be transferred to trainees on the basis of orientation, coaching, and practice.
- (S) The third subtask was devoted to beginning transfer of the six-stage procedure to two Army INSCOM personnel who were sent to SRI on three separate occasions during FY 82. One of these viewers is at mid-Stage II, on the basis of 53 RV site viewings; the second viewer has nearly completed Stage II, on the basis of 77 RV site viewings. The level reached by the latter viewer can be seen from his final four viewings before his return to INSCOM headquarters (Figures 6 through 9).

9

### **SECRET**




#### **CPYRGHT**



FIGURE 2 (U) GLEN CANYON DAM, UTAH

10



11





FIGURE 4 (U) SAHARA OILFIELDS

12

## UNCLASSIFIED



13





FIGURE 6 (U) COPPER MINE; SILVER CITY, NEW MEXICO

14


### **UNCLASSIFIED**

Approved For Release 2000/08/07: CIA-RDP96-00788r001300330001-2



15

## Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788r001300330001-2



(b) RV RESPONSE

(U) MONUMENT VALLEY; ARIZONA/UTAH

FIGURE 8

**CPYRGHT** 




(a) SITE

UNCLASSIFIED

16

## **UNCLASSIFIED**

Approved For Release 2000/08/07: CIA-RDP96-00788r001300330001-2




(b) RV RESPONSE

(U) MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA

FIGURE 9

**CPYRGHT** 



17

#### IV OPERATIONAL RV TASKS (U)

#### A. Operational RV Tasking (U)

(S) To meet program objectives, one of SRI's tasks is to investigate US capabilities in applied RV, both to determine the potential for application in US efforts, and to provide data that is useful in assessing the threat potential of corresponding Soviet applications. In response to this requirement, SRI has pursued application tasks that were of interest to the intelligence community, and have responded to quick-reaction requirements set by representatives monitoring the progress of the work.

#### B. RV Session Format (U)

(S) The format for carrying out these tasks during FY 82 is as follows. A request for information concerning a target site is transmitted by the client to the DIA representative the Joint Service Program COTR in residence at SRI. He then provides targeting information (e.g., coordinates) to an SRI RV session monitor at the start of a session. This monitor then works with a remote viewer to obtain data. In this format, SRI personnel are kept blind to the source of the request, and to the type of site or event of interest. In some cases, the COTR is present during the RV session, or he may even conduct the session himself.

#### C. Pre- and Post-Operational Task Calibration (U)

(S) In an effort to determine whether a remote viewer is "on line" before attempting an operational task, a presession calibration trial is carried out on a site for which feedback materials (e.g., National Geographic magazines, travel brochures) are available to the session

18

### **SECRET**

Approved For Release 2000/08/07: CIA-RDP96-00788r001300330001-2

SG1J

(S)

monitor. If the results indicate a useful level of RV functioning, the operational task is engaged; if not, the task is aborted. In like fashion, a postsession calibration trial is carried out to provide a check on whether the viewer remained "on line" during the operational task.

#### D. FY 82 Operational RV Sites (U)

(S) The tasks carried out during FY 82 are listed in Table 2. Additional detailed data are provided in the operational Task Summary Sheets provided in Appendix B. Complete documentation (transcripts, evaluations, and so forth) can be made available through SAO channels on a need-to-know basis.

#### E. Evaluation of the Operational RV Task (U)

- (U) Evaluation protocols were developed for use by analysts to provide numerical estimates of various aspects of the RV product generated in operational RV tasks. The returned protocols constitute the basis for contractor evaluation, feedback to the remote viewer, and as an input for the computerized data-base management (DBM). The evaluation protocols submitted to the analysts for their completion are provided in Appendix D of the below footnoted document.
- (U) While awaiting the bulk of evaluation protocols, the contractor has completed development of a computerized data-base management system to handle this material. This system, programmed on a stand-alone LSI 11/23 system, provides a library/catalog function of data-base readout by

<sup>(</sup>U) H. E. Puthoff, "RV Reliability, Enhancement, and Evaluation (U)," Final Report, SRI Project 3279-1, SRI International, Menlo Park, CA (February 1982), SECRET/NOFORN/GF.

Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788r001300330001-2

#### V SUMMARY OF THE FY 82 RV ENHANCEMENT TASK (U)

- (S) Progress in the FY 82 RV Enhancement Task can be summarized as follows:
  - · RV enhancement procedure further developed.
    - Special emphasis on procedures applicable to identification of technological facilities.
    - 75 RV research/training practice trials with I. Swann.
  - Procedure transfer begun to five novice SRI staff members and consultants.
    - Orientation and practice through various levels of Stage II.
  - Procedure transfer begun to two novice Army INSCOM personnel.
    - One RVer mid-Stage II; 53 RV training trials.
    - One RVer nearly complete on Stage II; 77 RV training trials.
  - Data obtained on Operational Sites J.S. #23 through J.S. #34.
  - RV evaluation protocols developed.
  - Computerized RV data-base management system developed to completion (LSI 11/23 stand-alone microcomputer).

<sup>(</sup>U) E. C. May, "RV Evaluation Protocol (U)," Final Report, SRI Project 4028-5, SRI International, Menlo Park, CA (December 1982), SECRET-NF-GF.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

#### Appendix A

TECHNOLOGICAL SITES USED IN STAGE IV RESEARCH (U)

23

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

#### Appendix A

#### Table A-1

#### (U) TECHNOLOGICAL SITES USED IN STAGE IV RESEARCH (U)

Date	a
(1982)	Site
13 Jan	Socorro, Radar Site, NM
13 Jan	Indian Point Nuclear Plant, NY
13 Jan	Cape Kennedy, FL
13 Jan	Warm Springs Dam, CA
14 Jan	Chatanika Radar, AK
14 Jan	Russellville Nuclear Plant, AK
20 Jan	Coyote Dam, CA
20 Jan	Los Banos Radar Site, CA
20 Jan	Conn-Yankee Nuclear Plant, NY
20 Jan	Lost Hills Antenna Array, CA
21 Jan	Arecibo Dish, Puerto Rico
21 Jan	Panama Canal Locks
22 Jan	Stanford Radiotelescope, CA
22 Jan	Navaho Generating Station, AZ
22 Jan	Kariba Dam, Zimbabwe/Zambia
22 Jan	Air Force Academy, CO
25 Jan	DSN Antenna, Goldstone, CA
26 Jan	Glen Canyon Dam, UT
26 Jan	DSN Antenna, Spain
26 Jan	Algeria Oilfields
27 Jan	Hebgen Dam, MT
27 Jan	Oconee Nuclear Plan, SC
27 Jan	Kwajalein Radar, Marshall Islands
22 Feb	Cheops Pyramid

Table A-1 (continued)

Date	
(1982)	Site
24 Feb	Lindheimer Observatory, IL
26 Feb	Standard Oil Refinery, Richmond, CA
1 Mar	Gavin's Point Dam, NE
1 Mar	Edwards AFB, CA
1 Mar	Golden Gate Bridge, CA
1 Mar	Stanford Radiotelescope, CA
2 Mar	Dulles Airport, VA
2 Mar	DSN Antenna, Australia
2 Mar	Gallup National Gas Plant, NM
2 Mar	Vandenberg AFB, CA
3 Mar	Mount Isa, Australia
5 Mar	Eiffel Tower
17 Mar	Gateway Arch, St. Louis, MO
17 Mar	Houston Astrodome, TX
17 Mar	Barre Quarry, VT
17 Mar	Kitt Peak Observatory, AZ
18 Mar	Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel, VA
18 Mar	Bennet Dam & Portage Mtn. Plant, Br. Columbia
18 Mar	Smith-Institute Aerospace Museum, DC
19 Mar	George Washington Bridge, NY
19 Mar	Johnston Power Plant, WY
19 Mar	Bell Labs Antenna, Crawford Hill, NJ
24 Mar	Pittsburgh Civic Center, PA
24 Mar	Kariba Dam, Zimbabwe/Zambia
24 Mar	Indian Point Nuclear Plant, NY
4 May	Rondo II Radar, Palo Alto, CA

Table A-1 (concluded)

Date	
(1982)	Site
4 May	Verrazano-Narrows Bridge, NY
4 May	Belden Dam, CA
4 May	Space Needle, Seattle, WA
5 May	Steel Plant, Aliquippa, PA
5 May	O'Hare International Airport, IL
6 May	MacArthur Bridge, St. Louis, MO
6 May	Rock Creek Dam, CA
7 May	Moses Power Plant, Niagra Falls, NY
7 May	U.N. Building, New York, NY
7 May	Rondo I Radar, Palo Alto, CA
10 May	Cresta Dam, CA
10 May	San Jacinto Monument, TX
11 May	Pahlavi Dam, Iran
11 May	Pulp Plant, Newfoundland
12 May	Chesapeake Bay Bridge, MD
12 May	Decker Mine, MT
12 May	MIT Haystack Antenna, MA
14 May	Glen Canyon Dam, UT
14 May	Erie Mining Co., Hoyt Lakes, MN
18 May	Pit 6, Shasta County Dam, CA
18 May	Terrebonne Bay Oil Wells, LA
19 May	Puunene Mill, Maui
19 May	Pit 7, Shasta County Dam, CA
19 May	American Museum of Natural History, NY
19 May	Erie Mining Co., Hoyt Lakes, MN

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Appendix B

OPERATIONAL TASK SUMMARY SHEETS (U)

29

### **UNCLASSIFIED**

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

30

### **UNCLASSIFIED**

### Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : **SECRET** 00788r001300330001-2



SRI/GF-0007

CLASSIFIED BY: DIA-DT

REVIEW ON: 26 October 2001

	Date	Oct	ober 1981; 0830				
	Series	D	IA				
	Session No	٠	1				
	Target No.		J.S. #23				
SG1A	Target						
	Remote Vie	wer .	#009			<del></del>	
	Interviewe	r		SG1J			
	Beacon(s)		Abstract ("	Target")			
					SG1J		
	Comments:	1.	Session conduct	ted by DIA	COTR,		,
		2.	Remote viewer a activity of int technologies of	terest; int			
		3.	Viewer gives on	ılv general	description o	f building	lavout.

H. E. Puthoff, Ph.D., Radio Physics Laboratory

**SRI** International

31

### **SECRET**



Date \_\_\_\_\_27 October 1981; 0835

SRI/GF-0008

CLASSIFIED BY: DIA-DT

REVIEW ON: 27 October 2001

	Series	D	IA	
	Session No	· _	1	•
	Target No.	·	J.S. #24	
SG1A	Target			
	Remote Vie	wer .	#009	
	Interviewe	r	SG1J	
	Beacon(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Abstract ("Target")	
	Comments:	1.	Session conducted by DIA COTR,	1J
		2.	Remote viewer and interviewer blind as to targe and activity of interest.	et location
		3.	Viewer described construction, a building, plantaboratories and associated with missiles.	nned for

H. E. Puthoff, Ph.D., Radio Physics Laboratory



SRI/GF-0009

CLASSIFIED BY: DIA-DT

REVIEW ON: 29 October 2001

	Date	29 C	ctober	1981;	0900	<del></del>					
	Series		DIA								
	Session No	•	1	•							
	Target No.		J.S	. #25	······································		<del></del>				
SG1A	Target										
	Remote View	ver			#009	<del></del>					
	Interviewe	r _				<del></del>	SG1.	J			
	Beacon(s)			Abstra	ct ("Ta	rget")				2041	
							_			SG1J	
٠	Comments:	1.	Sessi	on con	ducted	by DIA	COTR,				
		2.			er and y of in			olind as	to targe	et location	
		3.						licated t		gle purpose,	ŀ

H. E. Puthoff, Ph.D., Radio Physics Laboratory

**SRI** International

33

### **SECRET**



SRI/GF-0228

CLASSIFIED BY: DIA-DT

REVIEW ON: 7 December 2001

Date	7 December 1981; 1012
Series	DIA
Session No.	
	J.S. #26
Target	SAO
Remote Viewe	r#009
Interviewer	SG1J
Beacon(s)	Phrase "Target"
Comments:	Session carried out by DIA COMP



SRI/GF-0229

CLASSIFIED BY: DIA-DT

REVIEW ON:

Date _	10	December	1981,	1010	(Scan	1);	1050	(Scan	2)
Series		DIA			·	,			
Sessio	n No				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		J.S			<del></del>			·	
Target	<del></del>	SAO		·					
Remote	View	er	#009				<del></del>		
Interv	iewer	·				SG	1J		
Beacon	(s) _	Ph	rase "	Targe	t''				
Commen	ts:	Session	carrie	d out	by DI.	A CO	TR.		

H. E. Puthoff, Ph.D., Radio Physics Laboratory

**SRI** International

35

#### **SECRET**



SRI/GF-0230

CLASSIFIED BY: DIA-DT

REVIEW ON: 13 December 2001

Date13 1	December 1981; 0917
Series	DIA
Session No	1
Target No	J.S. #28
Target Unkno	wn locations: 12/10/81, 1000; 12/11/81, 1000, afternoon, evening
Remote Viewer	12/17/81 (Future RV), morning, noon, dusk, evening #009
Interviewer _	#026
Beacon(s) A	bstract (Target date A, B, C; Time 1, 2, 3, 4)
Comments: 1.	List of target dates, times, given to interviewer at session start by DIA COTR in residence at SRI; locations corresponding to those dates and times requested. No further description of task given.
2.	Remote viewer and interviewer blind as to target significance and activity of interest.
3.	Mid-session calibration experiments with Nat'l Geographic target material (Stuttgart, Arkansas; Gibralter) yielded good results, indicating remote viewer generally "on-line."
4.	In addition to descriptions of locations, viewer described an individual (and a group) who seemed to be associated with the locations of interest.

**SRI** International

36



SRI/GF-0021

CLASSIFIED BY: DIA-DT

REVIEW ON: 14 December 2001

	Date 14 Dece	ember 1981, 1230 (Session 1); 140	O5 (Session 2);	_1523 (Session 3)	
	Series	DIA		_	
	Session No.	1, 2, 3		_	
	Target No.	J.S. #29			
SG1A	Target				
	Remote Viewer	#002			
	Interviewer	H. Puthoff,	SG1J		
	Beacon(s)	CRV (Coordinate Remote Vie	wing)		
	Commont			SG1J	
	Comments: 1. SG1J	in attendance. Coo brought to session by	rdinates and da	tes of interest	SG1J
	3.	Remote viewer blind as to targe On-line check calibration trial target materials yielded good re generally "on-line" for remote	s utilizing Nat esults. indicat	'1 Geographic	
	4.	Remote viewer described a terror lobbing of shells carrying exploin of mockup situation.	rist training c	amp; activity in some kind	

H. E. Puthoff, Ph.D., Radio Physics Laboratory

**SRI** International

37



SRI/GF-0022

CLASSIFIED BY: DT-1A

REVIEW ON: 14 December 2001

Date	]	14 December 1981, 1650						
Series _		DIA	_					
Session 1	No	1	_					
Target No	·	J.S. #30	_					
Target	I	litch Ramirex-Sanchez (Carlos)	_					
Remote Vi	ewer	#002	_					
Interview	er _	H. Puthoff, SG1J	_					
Beacon(s)		Hidden picture in envelope	•					
Comments: SG1J	1.	Sessions carried out at DIA HQ, with DIA repre in attendance. Target of interest	SG1J sentative determined by					
	2.	Remote viewer blind as to identify of target p activities of interest.	erson and his					
	3.	Pre- and post-op calibration trials with Nat'l Geographic materials (Sierra Madre and Tel Aviv, respectively) yielded good results, indicating remote viewer generally "on-line" for remote viewing.						
	4.	Remote viewer profiled subject of interest.						

H. E. Puthoff, Ph.D., Radio Physics Laboratory

**SRI** International

SG1J

38



SRI/GF-0023

CLASSIFIED BY: DT-1A

REVIEW ON: 15 December 2001

Date	15 December 1981, 0857	
Series	DIA	
Session No	01	
Target No	J.S. #31	
	3°53'53"N, 77°02'10"W (White House), 17 December 198	l Evening (Xmas tree lighting ceremony)
Remote Vie	ewer #002	
Interviewe	H. Puthoff, J. Vorona	SG1J
Beacon(s)		SG1J
SG1J SG1J	and J. Vorona present. Coordinates and brought to session by and J. Vorona present. Coordinates and J. Vorona present and J. Vorona present. Coordinates and J. Vorona present and J. Vorona present. Coordinates and J. Vorona pr	d date of interest na.  wity of interest.  eographic target dicating remote
	Remote viewer described a social event at White Hot awaiting some event. Nothing of note (precognitive	
	*Pre-ops: Waikiki, Corrientes, Mt. Everest, Turku University of Spain, Central Park, NYC. Midcheck: Post-op: Cape Cod.	
	H. E. Puthoff, Ph.D., Radio	vsics Laboratory

**SRI** International

39

### **SECRET**



SRI/GF-0024

CLASSIFIED BY: DT-1A

REVIEW ON: 18 December 2001

Date18 December 1981, 1445	
SeriesDIA	
Session No. 1	
Target No. J.S. #32	
Target Brig. General James L. Dozier, location of	
Remote Viewer #009	
Interviewer H. Puthoff	
Beacon(s) Dozier	
Comments:	

H. c. Puthol

**SRI** International

40



SRI/GF-0231

CLASSIFIED BY: DT-1A

REVIEW ON: 17 January 2002

Date _	17	January	1982			<del> </del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Series		DTA					···			
Session	No.	4		·						
Target	No	J.S.	, #32	·				_		
Target .		Brig. Jan	nes L.	Dozier,	location	n of				
Remote	Viewe	er	#009	(Group)						
Intervi	ewer		none	9	·					
Beacon (	s) _	I	Oozier				-			SG1J
Comment	s: )	a con Delta	npilati , Thet e view	a, Lambda	outs fro a, Epsil	m RVers	labele Pi. T	d App1 he dat	e, Bake es of i	r, Charlie, ndividual 2 January
	2	2. Compi	lation	carried	to		* 18 Ja	nuary	1982.	SG1J
	- *	* DIA (DT-	1A) P.	.O.C.						

H. E. Puthoff, Ph.D., Radio Physics Laboratory

H.c. Puthol

**SRI** International

41



SRI/GF-0020

CLASSIFIED BY: DT-1A

REVIEW ON: 7 January 2002

	Date	7	January 1982, 0700	
	Series	·	DIA	
	Session No	۰	1, 2	
	Target No	•	J.S. #33	
SG1A	Target			
	Remote Vie	ewer	#002 (Participant "A"), #622 (Participant "B")	
	Interviewe	r	none	
SG1A	Beacon(s)			
	Comments:	1.	Remote viewers #002 and #622 were asked to describe, and if	
SG1			possible determine the location of.	
S	G1A	2.		
SG1A			were sent to #002 by J. Vorona (DT-1A); also hand delivered to Puthoff by (DT-1A) on 15 December 1981.	SG1J
		3.	The remote viewers' renderings were turned over to H. Puthoff at SRI, who then telexed it to [IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	SG1J

H. E. Puthoff, Ph.D., Radio Physics Laboratory

**SRI** International

42



SRI/GF-0211

CLASSIFIED BY: DT-5A
REVIEW ON: 1 March 2002

Date	larch 1982; 0853 (Scan 1)
Series	DIA
Session No	•
	J.S. #34
Target	
Remote Vie	wer#002
Interviewe	H. Puthoff
Beacon(s)	CRV
Comments:	1. Coordinates given to Puthoff by (DIA) this date.
	2. Remote viewer and interviewer blind as to target location and target activity of interest.
	3. Calibration trials with known target materials indicated

H. E. Puthoff, Ph.D., Radio Physics Laboratory

**SRI** International

43

#### **SECRET**



SG1A

SRI/GF-0211

CLASSIFIED BY: DT-5A REVIEW ON: 1 March 2002

Date 1	Mar	ch 1982, 1058 (Scan 2)	
Series	<del></del> -	DIA	
Session N	lo		
		J.S. #34	
Target			
Remote Vi	ewer	#002	
Interview	er _	H. Puthoff	
Beacon(s)		CRV	
Comments:	1. 2.	Continuation of scans begun this date.	
	۵.	Remote viewer and interviewer blind as to target loand target activity of interest.	cation
	3.	Calibration trials with known target materials indiremote viewer "on-line."*	.cated
	·		
	* Pro Sta	esession, Golden Gate Bridge, San Francisco, CA; pos anford Radiotelescope.	tsession,

H. c. Puthol

**SRI** International

44



SRI/GF-0211

CLASSIFIED BY: DT-5A REVIEW ON: 2 March 2002

Date2	March 1982, 0944 (Scan 3)
Series	DIA
Session No	•
Target No.	J.S. #34
Target	
Remote Vie	wer#002
Interviewe	r H. Puthoff
Beacon(s)	CRV
Comments:	1. Continuation of scans begun 1 March 1982.
•	2. Remote viewer and interviewer blind as to target location and target activity of interest.
	3. Calibration trials with known target materials indicated remote viewer "on-line,"*
	* Presession, Dulles Intern'l Airport, dish antenna in Australi Gallup, NM Natural Gas Co. Postsession, Vandenburg Air Force

H. E. Puthoff, Ph.D., Radio Physics Laboratory

H. c. Puthy

**SRI** International

45



SRI/GF-0211

CLASSIFIED BY: DT-5A
REVIEW ON: 24 March 2002

	Date	24 N	March 1982, 0940 (Scan 4)
	Series	<del></del>	DIA
	Session No	· _	
	Target No.	·	J.S. #34
SG1A	Target		
	Remote Vie	ewer	#002
	Interviewe	er	H. Puthoff
	Beacon(s)	<del></del>	CRV
·	Comments:		Continuation of scans begun 1 March 1982.  Remote viewer and interviewer blind as to target location
			and target activity of interest.
		3.	Calibration trials with known target material indicated remote viewer "on-line."*
		* Pro	esession: Pittsburgh Civic Center; Kariba Dam, Zimbabwe. stsession: Indian Point Nuclear Plant.

H. E. Puthoff, Ph.D., Radio Physics Laboratory

**SRI** International

46



SRI/GF-0211

CLASSIFIED BY: DT-5A REVIEW ON: 5 May 2002

	Date	5 May 1982, 0950 (Scan 5)	
	Series	DIA	
	Session No	•	
	Target No.	J.S. #34	
SG1A	Target		
	Remote View	wer#002	
	Interviewe	H. Puthoff	
	Beacon(s)	CRV	
•	Comments:	1. Continuation of scans begun on 1 March 1982.	
		2. Remote viewer and interviewer blind as to target and target activity of interest.	location
		3. Calibration trials with known target material incremote viewer "on-line."*	licated
		* Presession: Steel Plant, Aliquippa, PA. Postsession: O'Hare International Airport.	on:

H. E. Puthoff, Ph.D., Radio Physics Laboratory

**SRI** International

47



SRI/GF-0211

CLASSIFIED BY: DT-5A REVIEW ON: 6 May 2002

	Date	6	May 1982, 0933 (Scan 6)	_
	Series		DIA	
	Session No	· _		_
			J.S. #34	
SG1A	Target			•
	Remote Vie	wer	#002	•
	Interviewe	er	H. Puthoff	
	Beacon(s)	<del></del>	CRV	
,	Comments:		Continuation of scans begun on 1 March 1982.	
		2.	Remote viewer and interviewer blind as to targe and target activity of interest.	et location
		3.	Calibration trials with known target materials remote viewer "on-line."*	indicated
		* Pre	esession: MacArthur Bridge, St. Louis. Postses	sion: Rock

H. E. Puthoff, Ph.D., Radio Physics Laboratory

**SRI** International

48



SG1A

SRI/GF-0211

CLASSIFIED BY: DT-5A REVIEW ON: 7 May 2002

Date	7 Ma	ay 1982, 0931 (Scan 7)	
Series		DIA	
Session No	·		
Target No.		J.S. #34	
Target			
Remote Vie	wer	#002	
Interviewe	r	H. Puthoff	
Beacon(s)	<del></del>	CRV	
Comments:	1.	Continuation of scans begun 1 March 1982.	
	2.	Remote viewer and interviewer blind as to target loca and target activity of interest.	tion
	3.	Calibration trials with known target materials indicaremote viewer "on-line."*	ted
		resession: Moses Power Plant, Niagra Falls; U.N. Bldg.	•

H. E. Puthoff, Ph.D., Radio Physics Laboratory

H. c. Puthy

**SRI** International

49



SRI/GF-0211

CLASSIFIED BY: DT-5A REVIEW ON: 11 May 2002

	Date	11 M	lay 1982, 0905 (Scan 8)
	Series		PIA
	Session No	·	
			J.S. #34
SG1A	Target		
	Remote Vie	wer .	#002
	Interviewe	r	H. Puthoff
	Beacon(s)		CRV
	Comments:	1.	Continuation of scans begun 1 March 1982.
	•	2.	Remote viewer and interviewer blind as to target location and target activity of interest.
		3.	Calibration trials with known target materials indicated remote viewer "on-line."*
			resession: Pahlavi Dam, Iran. Postsession: Pulp Plant,

H. E. Puthoff, Ph.D., Radio Physics Laboratory

**SRI** International

50



SRI/GF-0211

CLASSIFIED BY: DT-5A REVIEW ON: 18 May 2002

Date	18 May 1982, 0849 (Scan 9)
Series _	DIA
Session	No.
Target 1	No
Target _	
Remote V	Viewer#002
Intervi $\epsilon$	ewer H. Puthoff
Beacon(s	S) CRV
Comments	3: 1. Continuation of scans begun 1 March 1982.
	<ol> <li>Remote viewer and interviewer blind as to target location and target activity of interest.</li> </ol>
	3. Calibration trials with known target materials indicated remote viewer "on-line."*
	* Presession: Pit 6, Shasta County Dam, CA. Postsess: Terrebonne Bay Oil Wells.

H. E. Puthoff, Ph.D., Radio Physics Laboratory

**SRI** International

51



SRI/GF-0211

CLASSIFIED BY: DT-5A REVIEW ON: 19 May 2002

	Date	19 May 1982, 0901 (Scan 10)
	Series	DIA
	Session N	
		J.S. #34
SG1A	Target	
	Remote Vie	wer#002
	Interviewe	r H. Puthoff
	Beacon(s)	CRV
	Comments:	1. Continuation of scans begun 1 March 1982.
		2. Remote viewer and interviewer blind as to target location and target activity of interest.
		3. Calibration trials with known target materials indicated remote viewer "on-line."*
		* Presession: Puunene Mill, Maui; Pit 7, Shasta County Dam, CA. Postsession: American Museum of Natural History, NYC; Erie Mining Co.

H. E. Puthoff, Ph.D., Radio Physics Laboratory

**SRI** International

52

SPECIAL ACCESS PROGRAM FOR GRILL FLAME RESTRICT DISSEMINATION TO ONLY INDIVIDUALS WITH VERIFIED ACCESS.

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

**SECRET** 

Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788r001300330001-2